IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

FRIDAY, THE 26TH OCTOBER 2007 / 4TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 31890 of 2007(U)

PETITIONER:

RAM MANOJ, S/O.RAMACHANDRAN,
NEELABHAVAN, PANAPETTY, PORUVAZHI P.O.,
KUNNATHOOR, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.V.ANIL KUMAR

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE MANAGER,
ING VYSYA BANK, RAVIPURAM, KOCHI.

2. THE DEPUTY TAHSILDAR (RR),
TALUK OFFICE, KUNNATHOOR, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SHRI.BEJOY CHANDRAN, GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.GIRI, J.

WP(C) No. 31890 of 2007

Dated, this the 26th day of October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner availed a loan of Rs. 10 lakhs from the 1st respondent, namely the ING Vysya Bank, but defaulted repayment of the same. Revenue Recovery action has been initiated as evidenced by Exts.P2 & P3 notices. Though the challenge is against Exts.P2 & P3 notices, essentially the petitioner's dispute is with the 1st respondent, which is a Scheduled Bank. Going by the dictum of the Supreme Court in **Federal Bank Vs. Sagar Thomas**, reported in 2003 (3) KLT 876, I find that this writ petition is not maintainable, the same is, therefore, dismissed.

(V.GIRI, JUDGE.)

jg